**Information:**

Citation   
Clemmitt, Marcia. "Computer Hacking Can “good” hackers help fight cybercrime?"   
     CQ Reseacher Online. N.p., 16 Sept. 2011. Web. 3 Oct. 2011.   
     <http://library.cqpress.com/cqresearcher/   
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Author’s credentials   
It shows no credentials on the paper, though from reading the article the author is well qualified and has done a lot of research on the topic.  
Scope and purpose of the work   
This is an informational report that talks about hacking and the dangers it holds. It says many of the things that hacking can do and the importance of having someone for network security. It is very important to have a paid hacker find the loopholes in your systems so that they can be fixed.  
Intended audience   
The intended audience is an expert in the field of networking. This is a piece to inform companies and network security workers some ways to best protect your systems. This also tells that some companies are already paying hackers to find the loopholes within their companies. This article is only for people who would care to secure their systems such as businesses.

**Summary:**

Identify the author’s thesis.

The author’s thesis is that hacking can be a positive action. Marcia Clemmit is trying to argue how important it can be to pay someone to hack into your systems to find loopholes. She displays the importance to security that hackers can be.  
What are the main arguments?   
The author says that hacking into your own system can allow for finding huge security flaws. If you know the flaws are there then you can easily go and sure up the loophole into the system. With She also talks of programs that can help protect your system and how they work. What She covers the general topic of securing ones computer systems from attack by a hacker.

**Evidence?**

The author tells of how hackers use system flaws to get into the system. If the company knows of this flaw they can fix it. This idea is common knowledge if you know what the flaw is you can easily fix the problem before it is a problem.

**Evaluation**

Evaluation of research   
The research was very clear and strong. This article was very insightful and backed up a lot of the ideas I already knew. This helped give better understanding to already known ideas.  
Evaluation of scope   
The topic was quite adequately addressed. There was an abundance of useful information within this article.

Evaluation of author bias   
There is nothing for the author to be bias about within this article. The author was motivated to help protect people from hackers.

**Reflection**

Yes this source was very helpful toward my research.   
This source helped sure up my main argument, that hacking can be positive and can protect against other hackers. The information in this source gave more to back up my argument it allows me to have the ability to quote on something rather than just use my own ideas on the topic. Without articles such as this to backup what I am saying my argument would not be nearly as strong.